based on the 1951 and 1981 Census is enclosed.

Statement

Percentage distribution of Workers, Census 1951 and 1981-All India.

	Industry Group	1951	1981
I.	Agriculture & Allied (including Cultivators, Agricultural Labourers Allied activities like plantation etc.)	72.1	68.7
II.	Industry (including Mining & Quarrying, Manufacturing & Construction)	10.7	13.5
III.	Services (including Trade & Commerce, Transport, Storage & Communication and other Services)	17.2	17.8

1795. [*Transferred to the 4th May, 1989*]

Promotion of Special List Commissioned officers in Army

1796. DR. RATNAKAR PANDEY:
SHRI DEBA PRASAD RAY:

Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a provision has been made for promotion of Special List Commissioned Officers in the Army as Lt. Colonels on Time-scale basis, while similar provision has not been made in respect of equivalent rank officers in the Navy;

(b) if so, what are the reasons for the disparity between Army and Naval Officers; and

(c) what steps are being taken to bring about a parity between the officers of the two wings?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION AND SUPPLIES IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI CHINTAMANI PANIGRAHI): (a) to (c) In the Army, Special List Commissioned Officers of the rank of Major, who do not make the grade for Lt. Col. (Selection), are subject to certain conditions, eligible for promotion to the rank of Lt. Col. (time-scale) on completion of 21 years commissioned service. They do not get the rank pay of a Lt. Col. and they continue to be reckoned against the authorised streng h of Majors.

In the Navy there is no provision for a time-scale promotion for Lt. Cdr. (Special Duty List) to the rank of a Commander. A Lt. Commander (Special Duty List) is, however, eligible to be considered for promotion to the rank of a Commander in the General list on the basis of merit. The two categories are disparate and do not call for any parity.

Wrongful award of Kshanika Oration Award to a Professor of PGIMER, Chandigarh

1797. DR. RATNAKAR PANDEY:
SHRI DEBA PRASAD RAY:

Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news which appeared in the Patriot of April, 13, 1989 under the caption 'An Award of contention' to the effect that Dr. Saroj Mehta, Professor PGIMER, Chandigarh, had been wrongfully awarded the ICMR Kshanika Oration